

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,378	08/01/2003	Kenneth L. Byard	9157B	4159
759	90 07/16/2004		EXAMINER	
John M. Harrison			WEEKS, GLORIA R	
2139 E. Bert Ko	uns			
Shreveport, LA 71105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3721	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer.		10/632,378	BYARD, KENNETH L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•		
		Gloria R Weeks	3721			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the complex of the com	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>01 August 2003</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 33 and 34 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by		· ·).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	:(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔯 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/1/03</u> .		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/632,378

Art Unit: 3721

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 17-20, 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Byard (USPN 2,618,779).

In reference claims 1-4, 17-20, 30 and 32, Byard discloses a hammer-operated stapling apparatus for driving staples, comprising: a magazine (10); a staple rail (32) removably (column 3, lines 1-5) provided in the magazine (10) for supporting a supply of staples (24) of selected size; a follower (36) slidably provided in the magazine (10); a spring (39) engaging the follower (36); a head apparatus provided on one end of the magazine (10) having a back head (16) and a front head (17); a spring (31) biased plunger (21) reciprocally mounted in the head apparatus; a magazine cover (11) pivotally carried by the magazine (10); and a spacer (38) removably mounted (14, 15) on the magazine cover (11) and spaced from the staple.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,378 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5, 7-9, 11-15, 21, 23, 25-28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byard (USPN 2,618,779) in view of Landrus (USPN 4,380,312).

Regarding claims 5, 7-9, 11-15, 21, 23, 25-28 and 31, Byard discloses a hammeroperated stapling apparatus for driving staples, comprising: a magazine (10); a head apparatus (16, 17); a staple rail (32) removably (column 3, lines 1-5) provided in the magazine (10) for supporting a supply of staples (24) of selected size; a spring (31) biased plunger (21) reciprocally mounted in the head apparatus; and a magazine cover (11) pivotally carried by the magazine (10). Byard does not disclose a handle attached to a head apparatus having a front and back head separated by spacers. Landrus teaches a stapling apparatus for driving staples, comprising: a magazine (48); a head apparatus (10) having a front head (38), a back head (36), and a pair of spacers (legs of 32) interposed between the front and back head (38, 36); a staple rail (49) provided in the magazine (48); a spring (96) biased plunger (34) reciprocally mounted in the head apparatus (10); a handle (figure 1) attached to the head apparatus (10) for positioning the head apparatus (10) while driving staplrs from the head apparatus (10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the stapling apparatus of Byard to include the handle of Landrus for the purpose of positioning the stapling apparatus in desired locations. The head apparatus of Landrus includes an independent plunger guide (32) protected

by a front and back plate (38, 36). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the head apparatus of Byard to include the head assembly of Landrus for the purpose of limiting the drive distance of a plunger to a position dependent upon the thickness of the article receiving the staple (Landrus-abstract).

6. Claims 6, 10, 16, 22, 24 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byard (USPN 2,618,779) in view of Landrus (USPN 4,380,312) as applied to claims 5, 11, 21 and 23, and further in view of Dvorak et al. (USPN 5,699,864).

With respect to claims 6, 10, 16, 22, 24 and 29, Byard in view of Landrus discloses an impact device having a handle, but fails to disclose the use of a handle guard. Dvorak et al. teaches an impact device having a handle (86) with a handle guard (94). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the apparatus of Byard to include the handle guard of Dvorak et al. for the purpose of guarding the hand during use of the apparatus (Dvorak et al.-column 6, lines 11-15).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 33 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations of the claimed invention.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/632,378

Art Unit: 3721

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gloria R Weeks whose telephone number is (703) 605-4211. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 6:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on (703) 305-2187. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gloria R Weeks Examiner

Art Unit 3721

grw July 14, 2004

> SCOTT A. SMITH DRIMARY EXAMINER